OUTER INFORMATION WARFARE, AND THE TRUTH

SPACE

Gabrielle Lim and Joan Donovan



ESPITE UTOPIAN VISIONS of space exploration and the libertarian promises of freedom for an interplanetary humanity, outer space is cold, dark, and fraught with ethical tradeoffs. Certainly, the use of outer space has undeniably yielded an array of advantages (i.e., internet access, geospatial data and telemetry, monitoring and surveillance, navigation assistance, and communication services),¹ but the information gathered through military and commercial satellites is not value-neutral and carries the potential paradox of both helping and harming humanity. As a result, space-based assets and the data they gather have severe implications for those concerned with accessing truth while mitigating the spread of misinformation.

SPACE FOR TRUTH AND VERIFICATION

Firstly, satellite imagery and other telemetry can be used to monitor and verify claims and allegations by state and non-state actors alike—a highly useful tool for combating conspiracies and dubious claims amidst heightened tensions and humanitarian disasters. For example, satellite imagery has played a crucial role in detecting Russian troop movements throughout their full-scale invasion of Ukraine. Initially, this imagery was used to counter Putin's claims that nothing out of the ordinary was happening, but the images provided clear evidence to the contrary. More recently, satellite imagery has been used to identify mass graves and destruction wrought by Russia in Ukraine.² These images can be used as evidence for future war crimes trials and to fact-check competing allegations and misleading narratives. Space-based assets have the potential to ensure that states comply with their commitments, or at the very least, determine whether a state is reneging on its obligations. This is particularly relevant for arms treaties or other security agreements where the temptation of non-compliance is substantial.³



February 15, 2022, satellite photo shows increased Russian Military Activity Near Ukraine at the Opuk training area in Crimea, which Russia seized from Ukraine in 2014. I CC public domain



A satellite image collected by U.S. Air Force Airmen with the Electronic Systems Center-managed Eagle Vision program show the Fukushima Dai-ni nuclear power plant in Japan after the devastating earthquake and tsunami that struck March 11, 2011. US Air Force from USA I CC public domain

Secondly, satellite imagery can offer timely and accurate information during natural and humanitarian disasters.⁴ During times of active crisis, heightened media attention and widespread confusion can create a social vulnerability that potential attackers may seek to exploit. Therefore, the rapid dissemination of credible and evidence-based information is extremely important. A satellite, for example, captured a picture of the explosion at the Fukushima nuclear power plant on March 11, 2011, just minutes after the first reactor blew up.5 While walking up to a nuclear power plant explosion is not feasible, for obvious reasons, satellite imagery may be the next best option. When disaster strikes, timely and factual information is necessary to prevent chaos, loss of life, and long-term consequences. Space-based assets provide a means of gathering and transmitting this information, which is critical in ensuring accurate situational awareness and response coordination. In addition, these assets can play a crucial role in countering misleading conspiracies, miscommunication, and false claims.

SPACE FOR DISINFORMATION AND MANIPULATION

The credibility and importance of satellite imagery makes it a potential source for influence operations, as these images can impart a sen se of trustworthiness and authority. For several reasons, this trust is misplaced. Firstly, interpreting such imagery is not always easy and requires a level of training and skill. Imagery from remote sensing systems using synthetic aperture radar (SAR), which can see through clouds, for example, is not always clear-cut. Humans are not accustomed to seeing aerial imagery to begin with, let alone in black and white and in unfamiliar textures. A would-be manipulator can intentionally misinterpret an image to deceive untrained eyes. For example, during the lead-up to the invasion of Iraq in 2003, Secretary of State Colin Powell used satellite imagery, which was at best inconclusive, as evidence of weapons of mass destruction in a UN Security Council meeting. As well, satellite imagery, like all imagery, can be easily recontextualized to fit a different narrative. This is clear in conventional imagery. For example, a photo of a protest purporting to be from one event may later be misidentified as being from a completely different time and location. There is also a creeping worry that artificial intelligence will be used to create deep fakes of satellite imagery or other terrestrial maps. Researchers are already attempting to uncover ways to detect and verify instances of these tactics.6

Satellite imagery can offer timely information during natural and humanitarian disasters.

WHAT GETS OBSERVED AND WHO DECIDES?

Finally, we should also be aware of the potential impact a near ubiquitous remote sensing regime could have on democracy, global equity, and human rights. Although reliance on satellite communications may help circumvent internet outages or lack of access, these communications



can also be intercepted, allowing others to eavesdrop or geolocate end users.⁷ For example, Dzhokhar Dudayev, the first president of the self-proclaimed independent Chechen Republic of Ichkeria, was killed in 2006 by a Russian missile strike after a call from his satellite phone was intercepted by a Russian reconnaissance aircraft.⁸ It has also been alleged that Syrian President Bashar al-Assad has used satellite phone signals to target journalists.⁹ As the Committee to Protect Journalists warns, satellite phones can always be tracked.¹⁰

The commercialization of outer space has made satellite use available to a wide range of states, academic institutions, media outlets, and civil society organizations.

The increasing commercialization of outer space has made satellite use available to a wide range of states, academic institutions, media outlets, and civil society organizations. However, the control of "unfettered observation" remains primarily in the hands of Western companies, prompting some critics to raise concerns over potential biases influencing the information that is obtained, revealed, and acted upon.¹¹ Apprehension over the privatization of space is similar to that raised about our centralized information ecosystem, whereby



Satellite view reveals how the forest and the atmosphere interact to create a uniform layer of "popcorn" clouds one afternoon. I NASA image courtesy Jeff Schmaltz, MODIS Rapid Response at NASA GSFC. CC public domain

only a few U.S.-based tech giants can control and exploit vast troves of data. Domestic politics may also influence what is collected and what is not. For example, amidst growing debate over the health of the Amazon rainforest and extraction, former Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro slashed the budget of the National Institute for Space Research,¹² the federal agency traditionally charged with monitoring deforestation in the Amazon. Who determines what is observed and the management of the data will certainly play a role in the health of our environmental and information ecosystems beyond national security and geopolitics.

SPACE WARS BEGIN ON EARTH

Despite the distance, outer space and Earth are linked. However, our increasing dependence on space-based assets makes parsing the multitude of challenges and tradeoffs more difficult. Amidst talks of great power competition, space weapons, and commercialization there are equally pressing issues concerning production of knowledge, truth, and accountability. Though these topics sometimes overlap with national security (as with arms treaties or interstate war), they also affect the broad concept of human security-the wellbeing and safety of individuals and communities. As states and international organizations consider what to do to ensure the sustainable development and use of outer space, concerns should go well beyond simply reducing the level of debris, whether asteroids should be mined, or what qualifies as a space weapon.¹³ Policymakers must also carefully balance the potential benefits of remote sensing against the potential risks to privacy, human rights, and global equality.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Gabrielle Lim is Researcher at the Technology and Social Change Project at Harvard Kennedy School's Shorenstein Center, as well as Doctoral Fellow at the Citizen Lab at the University of Toronto, where she specializes in information technology and security, with a particular focus on media manipulation, censorship, conflict, and civil society.

Joan Donovan is Research Director of the Harvard Kennedy School's Shorenstein Center on Media Politics and Public Policy, leading the Technology and Social Change Research Project to examine media manipulation, disinformation, and adversarial media movements. She previously served as the Research Lead for Data & Society's Media Manipulation Initiative, mapping how interest groups use the internet and media to disrupt social institutions and has a Ph.D. in Sociology and Science Studies from the University of California, San Diego.



ENDNOTES

- I NATO Science & Technology Organization, "Science & Technology Trends 2020-2040: Exploring the S&T Edge," North Atlantic Treaty Organization, March 2020, p. n/a,
 - https://www.nato.int/nato_static_fl2014/assets/pdf/2020/4/pdf/190422-ST_Tech_Trends_Report_2020-2040.pdf, (accessed March 1, 2023).
- 2 Morgan Meaker, "High Above Ukraine, Satellites Get Embroiled in the War," Wired, March 4, 2022, https://www.wired.co.uk/article/ukraine-russia-satellites (accessed May 3, 2023); Frank Gardner, "Ukraine: Satellite Images Show Russian Military Activity," The BBC News, February 17, 2022, https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-60421378 (accessed May 3, 2023).
- 3 David Albright, Sarah Burkhard, and Allison Lach, "Commercial Satellite Imagery Analysis for Countering Nuclear Proliferation," Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 46, no. 1 (2018): 99–121,
- 4 Stefan Voigt et al., "Satellite Image Analysis for Disaster and Crisis-Management Support," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 4 (6) (June 2007): 1520–28; Rémi Froment et al., "Use of Earth Observation Satellites to Improve Effectiveness of Humanitarian Operations," Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED), 2020.
- 5 "Japan Reactor Crisis: Satellite Pictures Reveal Damage," *The National Geographic*, March 18, 2011, https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/ article/110318-japan-reactors-fukushima-nuclear-power-plant-pictures-radiation (accessed May 3, 2023).
- 6 James Vincent, "Deepfake Satellite Imagery Poses a Not-so-Distant Threat, Warn Geographers," The Verge, April 27, 2021, https://www.theverge.com/2021/4/27/22403741/deepfake-geography-satellite-imagery-ai-generated-fakes-threat (accessed May 3, 2023).
- 7 Danny Palmer, "How Hackers Could Spy on Satellite Internet Traffic with Just \$300 of Home TV Equipment," *ZDNET*, August 6, 2020, https://www.zdnet.com/article/how-hackers-could-spy-on-satellite-internet-traffic-with-just-300-of-home-tv-equipment/ (accessed May 3,2023);

John Scott-Railton, "3/ Satellite Phones Tend to Send Signals out in All Directions. Making Them Easy Targets. The Technology for Locating & Intercepting Them Is Well-Honed. This Is Different from Starlink." Tweet, *Twitter*, February 27, 2022.

- 8 Michael R. Gordon, "Chechen Rebels Say Leader Died in Russian Air Attack," The New York Times, April 25, 1996, https://www.nytimes.com/1996/04/25/world/chechen-rebels-say-leader-died-in-russian-air-attack.html (accessed May 3, 2023).
- 9 Robert Young Pelton, "Kill the Messenger," Foreign Policy, March 3, 2012, https://foreignpolicy.com/2012/03/03/kill-the-messenger/ (accessed May 3, 2023).
- 10 Frank Smyth, "Caveat Utilitor: Satellite Phones Can Always Be Tracked," *The Committee to Protect Journalists* (CPJ), February 24, 2012, https://cpj.org/2012/02/caveat-utilitor-satellite-phones-can-always-be-tra/ (accessed May 3, 2023).
- 11 Ronald J. Deibert, "Unfettered Observation," in Space Policy in the Twenty-First Century, ed. Lambright W. Henry (Johns Hopkins University Press, 2003), 95.
- 12 Sofia Moutinho and Herton Escobar, "Brazil's Space Research Institute, a Source of National Pride, Is Struggling for Survival," Science, May 26, 2022, https://www.science.org/content/article/brazil-s-space-research-institute-source-national-pride-struggling-survival (accessed May 3, 2023).
- 13 Bruce Dorminey, "Does Commercial Asteroid Mining Still Have a Future?," Forbes, August 31, 2021, https://www.forbes.com/sites/brucedorminey/2021/08/31/does-commercial-asteroid-mining-still-have-a-future/?sh=44d2e31a93f7 (accessed May 3, 2023);

Minoo Rathnasabapathy et al., "Space Sustainability Rating: Designing a Composite Indicator to Incentivise Satellite Operators to Pursue Long-Term Sustainability of the Space Environment," *Proceedings of the International Astronautical Congress*, January 1, 2020.